

Analysis of MLAs of Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, Uttarakhand, Goa and Manipur (2007-2012)

Highlights

- National Election Watch (NEW) have analyzed the affidavits submitted to the Election Commission of India of all the 690 MLAs in the five states of Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, Uttarakhand, Goa and Manipur.
- 28% MLAs i.e. (190 out of 690 analyzed) have self declared pending criminal charges as per their affidavits in the previous Assembly Elections. 89 MLAs out of these 690 analyzed have declared serious IPC charges like murder and attempt to murder charges against them.
- Uttar Pradesh has the maximum percentage of MLAs (35%) with pending criminal cases, with 143 out of 403 MLAs charged with criminal cases. Out of the 143, 76(19%) MLAs have serious criminal cases pending against them.
- Dharendra Pratap Singh of BSP in Uttar Pradesh has the highest number of criminal cases, (29) followed by Sushil Kumar also of the BSP in Uttar Pradesh with 14 cases pending against him. The charges are related to murder, attempt to murder etc.
- A total of 239 MLAs (i.e. 35% of the total analyzed) are crorepatis.
- Out of the 690 MLAs analyzed , 235 have not given their PAN details
- Out of 690 MLAs only 35(5%) are women

Background

Association for Democratic Reforms (ADR) and National Election Watch (NEW), a nationwide campaign comprising of more than 1200 NGOs and other citizen led organizations, has been working on electoral reforms, improving democracy and governance in India. National Election Watch (NEW) groups in the five states of Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, Uttarakhand, Goa and Manipur have analyzed the affidavits of 690 MLAs out of the total 690 MLAs in the 5 states.

This report is based on the analysis of these affidavits. The following pages give state- wise analysis of criminal, financial and other background information of the MLAs.

Analysis Based on Pending Criminal Cases

Pending Criminal Cases against MLAs

- There are 190 MLAs out of the 690 analyzed, (i.e. about 28 %) who have criminal cases pending against them as per their self sworn affidavits given to the Election Commission.
- Out of the 190 MLAs analyzed with pending criminal cases, 89 have declared serious IPC charges like murder and attempt to murder charges against them.
- Uttar Pradesh has the maximum % of MLAs (35%) with pending criminal cases, with 143 out of 403 MLAs charged with criminal cases. Out of the 143, 76(19%) MLAs have serious criminal cases pending against them with charges relating to murder, attempt to murder etc.

Pending criminal cases: State- wise

Given below is the state-wise list of MLAs with pending criminal cases in the 5 respective assemblies:

State	Total No. of MLAs Analyzed	MLAs with Pending Criminal Cases	% of MLAs with Pending Criminal Cases	MLAs with Serious Pending Criminal Cases	% of MLAs with Serious Criminal Cases
Uttar Pradesh	403	143	35%	76	19%
Punjab	117	20	17%	5	4%
Uttarakhand	70	17	24%	7	10%
Manipur	60	1	2%	1	2%
Goa	40	9	23%	0	0%
Total	690	190	28%	89	13%

Table: Pending Criminal Cases State-Wise

Top 3 MLAs with pending serious criminal cases (State Wise)

Sl. No.	Name	District	Constituency	Party	Total No. of Cases	Serious IPC Counts	Brief Details of Serious IPC Counts
Uttar Pradesh							
1	DHIRENDRA PRATAP SINGH	Balrampur	Balrampur	BSP	29	13	6 charges related to Attempt to murder 2 charges related to voluntarily causing grievous hurt 2 charges related to theft And others
2	SUSHIL KUMAR	Chandauli	Dhanapur	BSP	14	13	5 charges related to Murder 5 charges related to Attempt to murder And others
3	RAM PRAKASH YADAV	Firozabad	Jasrana	IND	11	12	2 charges related to murder 5 charges related to Kidnapping or abducting in order to murder And others
Punjab							
1	CAPT. BALBIR SINGH BATH	Gurdaspur	Srihargobindpur	SAD	1	3	1 charges related to voluntarily causing grievous hurt 1 charges related to Voluntarily causing hurt by dangerous weapons or means And others
2	NIRMAL SINGH KAHLOON	Gurdaspur	Fatehgarh	SAD	6	2	1 charges related to Attempt to murder 1 charges related to Theft after preparation made for causing death, hurt or restraint in order to the committing of the theft
3	KANWARJIT SINGH	Muktsar	Muktsar	INC	1	1	1 charges related to Attempt to murder
Uttarakhand							
1	DR. RAMESH POKHARIYAL NISHANK	Pauri Garhwal	Thalisain	BJP	3	1	1 charges related to Attempt to murder 2 charges related to Voluntarily causing grievous hurt
2	GANESH JOSHI	Dehradun	Rajpur	BJP	3	1	1 charges related to Attempt to murder 2 charges related to Voluntarily causing grievous hurt
3	GOPAL SINGH	Udhamsingh Nagar	Khatima	INC	3	1	1 charges related to Promoting enmity between different groups on grounds of religion, race, place of birth, residence, language, etc., and doing acts prejudicial to maintenance of harmony 1 charges related to Injuring or defiling place of worship with intent to insult the religion of any class 1 charges related to Deliberate and malicious acts, intended to outrage religious feelings or any class by insulting its religion or religious beliefs
Manipur*							
1	THOUNAOJAM SHYAMKUMAR	Imphal East	Andro	MPP	3	9	3 charges related to Waging, or attempting to wage war, or abetting waging of war, against the Government of India
Goa**							
N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A

Table: Top 3 MLAs with pending serious criminal cases (State Wise)

*Manipur has only 1 MLA against who there is pending criminal cases.**Goa, even though there are 9 MLAs with pending criminal cases, none of them have any serious criminal charges against them.

Analysis Based on Assets

A total of 239 MLAs out of 690 analyzed i.e. 35% of the total number of MLAs (analyzed) contesting in the 5 states are crorepati. 67% of MLAs analyzed of Punjab are crorepati followed by 55% of MLAs of Goa and 32% of MLAs of Uttar Pradesh.

State-wise crorepati MLAs

State	Total MLAs Analyzed	Crorepatis	% Crorepati MLAs
Uttar Pradesh	403	127	32%
Punjab	117	78	67%
Uttarakhand	70	11	16%
Manipur	60	1	2%
Goa	40	22	55%
Total	690	239	35%

Table: Crorepati MLAs State-Wise

Top 3 MLAs of each state with maximum assets

The following are the top 3 MLAs of each state with maximum assets:

S. No.	Name	Constituency	Party	Movable Assets (Rs)	Immovable Assets (Rs)	Total Assets (Rs)
Uttar Pradesh						
1	AJAY PRATAP SINGH alias LALLA BAIYA	Colonelganj	INC	1,11,89,703 1 Cror+	30,74,30,000 30 Cror+	31,86,19,703 31 Cror+
2	NAND GOPAL GUPTA	Allahabad South	BSP	9,94,68,727 9 Cror+	5,38,00,000 5 Cror+	15,32,68,727 15 Cror+
3	UMLESH YADAV	Bisauli	RPD	8,43,86,061 8 Cror+	5,98,38,580 5 Cror+	14,42,24,641 14 Cror+
Punjab						
1	AMARINDER SINGH	Patiala Town	INC	1,84,05,247 1 Cror+	36,65,85,000 36 Cror+	38,49,90,247 38 Cror+
2	RANA RAJBANS KAUR	Kapurthala	INC	25,70,70,000 25 Cror+	7,39,21,000 7 Cror+	33,09,91,000 33 Cror+
3	JASBIR SINGH KHANGURA	Qila Raipur	INC	15,37,23,505 15 Cror+	10,61,68,000 10 Cror+	25,98,91,505 25 Cror+
Uttarakhand						
1	AMRITA RAWAT	Bironkhal	INC	1,44,55,290 1 Cror+	11,21,82,000 11 Cror+	12,66,37,290 12 Cror+
2	SHAHZAD	Bahadradabad	BSP	6,03,386 6 Lacs+	4,42,20,000 4 Cror+	4,48,23,386 4 Cror+
3	NARAYAN PAL	Sitarganj	BSP	78,54,566 78 Lacs+	3,05,93,000 3 Cror+	3,84,47,566 3 Cror+
Manipur						
1	RADHABINOD KOIJAM	Thangmeiband	NCP	19,87,898 19 Lacs+	1,12,46,000 1 Cror+	1,32,33,898 1 Cror+
2	R. K. ANAND	Naoriya Pakhanglakpa	MPP	57,90,920 57 Lacs+	14,250 14 Thou+	58,05,170 58 Lacs+
3	DR. NGAIRANGBAM BIJOY SINGH	Khurai	MPP	9,62,045 9 Lacs+	45,60,000 45 Lacs+	55,22,045 55 Lacs+
Goa						
1	ANIL VASUDEV SALGAONKAR	Sanvordem	IND	71,40,84,216 71 Cror+	0	71,40,84,216 71 Cror+
2	MONSERRATE ATANASIO J.	Taleigao	UGDP	4,65,15,687 4 Cror+	10,66,89,240 10 Cror+	15,32,04,927 15 Cror+
3	ALEMAO JOAQUIM	Cuncolim	INC	5,94,71,538 5 Cror+	3,18,17,370 3 Cror+	9,12,88,908 9 Cror+

Table: Top 3 MLAs of each state with maximum assets

Low Asset Analysis of MLAs

The following table gives the list of MLAs with the lowest assets in their respective states:

S. No.	Name	Constituency	Party	Movable Assets (Rs)	Immovable Assets (Rs)	Total Assets (Rs)
Uttar Pradesh						
1	KAUSHLENDRA NATH YOGI	Tulsipur	BJP	51,943 <i>51 Thou+</i>	0	51,943 <i>51 Thou+</i>
2	SUDHIR KUMAR	Safipur	SP	1,29,700 <i>1 Lacs+</i>	0	1,29,700 <i>1 Lacs+</i>
3	HARI OM	Madhogarh	BSP	1,50,000 <i>1 Lacs+</i>	0	1,50,000 <i>1 Lacs+</i>
Punjab						
1	AJIT SINGH	Nihal Singh Wala	IND	2,26,720 <i>2 Lacs+</i>	2,00,000 <i>2 Lacs+</i>	4,26,720 <i>4 Lacs+</i>
2	SANT AJIT SINGH	Anandpur Sahib - Ropar	SAD	80,000 <i>80 Thou+</i>	5,00,000 <i>5 Lacs+</i>	5,80,000 <i>5 Lacs+</i>
3	HARCHAND KAUR	Sherpur	INC	2,05,000 <i>2 Lacs+</i>	6,00,000 <i>6 Lacs+</i>	8,05,000 <i>8 Lacs+</i>
Uttarakhand						
1	OM GOPAL	Narendranagar	UKKD	20,000 <i>20 Thou+</i>	0	20,000 <i>20 Thou+</i>
2	BANSHI DHAR BHAGAT	Haldwani	BJP	2,38,019 <i>2 Lacs+</i>	0	2,38,019 <i>2 Lacs+</i>
3	AJAY TAMTA	Someshwar	BJP	91,000 <i>91 Thou+</i>	1,51,000 <i>1 Lacs+</i>	2,42,000 <i>2 Lacs+</i>
Manipur						
1	*THANGKHOLUN HAOKIP	Chandel	RJD	0	0	0
2	AWANGBOW NEWMEI	Tamei	IND	30,000 <i>30 Thou+</i>	20,000 <i>20 Thou+</i>	50,000 <i>50 Thou+</i>
3	SHRI ELANGBAM KUNJESWAR SINGH	Yaikul	INC	1,12,192 <i>1 Lacs+</i>	0	1,12,192 <i>1 Lacs+</i>
Goa						
1	GAONKAR VASUDEV MENG	Sanguem	BJP	4,02,813 <i>4 Lacs+</i>	0	4,02,813 <i>4 Lacs+</i>
2	GURUDAS PRABHAKAR GAUNS	Pale	INC	5,58,606 <i>5 Lacs+</i>	0	5,58,606 <i>5 Lacs+</i>
3	ALEIXO REGINALDO LOURENCO	Curtorim	SGF	2,10,000 <i>2 Lacs+</i>	4,90,000 <i>4 Lacs+</i>	7,00,000 <i>7 Lacs+</i>

Table: MLAs with lowest assets

*THANGKHOLUN HAOKIP has not declared the amount for his assets

Analysis of PAN details of MLAs in five State Assemblies

State-wise details of MLAs who have not declared their PAN

State	Total No. of MLAs Analyzed	No. of MLAs not declared their PAN	% MLAs not declared their PAN
Uttar Pradesh	403	127	32%
Punjab	117	28	24%
Uttarakhand	70	26	37%
Manipur	60	49	82%
Goa	40	5	13%
Total	690	235	34%

Table: MLAs who have not declared their PAN

Analysis based on Gender

State-wise analysis based on gender

Out of 690 MLAs analyzed for Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, Uttarakhand, Manipur and Goa, the number of male MLAs are 655 whereas the number of female MLAs is only 35 (5% of the total number of MLAs analyzed).

S. No.	State	Total No. Of MLAs Analyzed	Male MLAs	% Male MLAs	Female MLAs	% Female MLAs
1	Uttar Pradesh	403	380	94%	23	6%
2	Punjab	117	110	94%	7	6%
3	Uttarakhand	70	66	94%	4	6%
4	Manipur	60	60	100%	0	0%
5	Goa	40	39	98%	1	3%
Total		690	655	95%	35	5%

Table: State-Wise Gender Analysis

Details of Helpline, SMS and Facebook Campaign: Toll-free no: **1-800-110-440** Day/Hours of operation: Mon-Sat (10 am – 6pm) Information given: Criminal records, Assets, Liabilities and Education Qualifications Source of Information: Candidate information given in affidavits.

To get detailed information on candidates contesting from your area, **SMS, MYNETA <PINCODE> to 56070** or **MYNETA <Constituency name> to 56070**. For e.g. to get data on Golaghat constituency you may send SMS as, **MYNETA GOLAGHAT** to 56070. The SMS you would get in reply would give be as follows: *“Assembly Constituency GOLAGHAT, MLA AJANTA NEOG INC: Criminal Cases-No, Assets Rs4671419, Liabilities Rs250040, Education Post Graduate. Lok Sabha Constituency KALIABOR, MP DIP GOGOI INC: Criminal Cases-No, Assets Rs2539058, Liabilities Rs0, Education Graduate. You can visit www.myneta.info for full details or call toll free 1800-110-440 to get them on phone”* The information provided for now would be on the present MLA and MP of the constituency. However in a day or 2 one would get information on all the contesting candidates from the concerned constituency.

Frequent updates on candidates and other Election Watch reports will also be given continuously on facebook. To get subscribed to facebook, please visit: <http://www.facebook.com/adr.new>, <http://www.twitter.com/adrspeaks>

DISCLAIMER: All information about candidates in this report has been taken from the affidavits filed by candidates with their nomination papers. Copies of affidavits were obtained from the Election Commission (Website <http://eci.nic.in>). While all efforts have been made to ensure that the information is in keeping with what is mentioned in the affidavits, in case of discrepancy between information in this report and that given in the affidavits, the information reported in the affidavits filed by candidates should be treated as correct. Association for Democratic Reforms, Uttar Pradesh Election Watch and their volunteers are not responsible or liable for any damage arising directly or indirectly from the publication of this report.